Introduction

Concerns have been raised about potential unintended long term side effects of scleral lens wear. One of these side effects is a potential change in intraocular pressure (IOP). One study investigated IOP changes with 15.0 mm diameter scleral lens wear using a pneumotonometer on the temporal sclera. The overall results suggested that the IOP did not increase with two hours of lens wear within the study group. However, there was a marked increase in pressure of individual subjects. These findings indicate that in some cases, scleral lens wear can affect IOP. Our study used a novel IOP measurement device called the Diaton (BICOM). The Diaton measures IOP through the upper eyelid to the scleral surface. This allows clinicians to monitor IOP while scleral lenses are being worn. (Fig.1)

Material and Methods

Fourteen normal eye subjects were fitted with two different scleral lens designs ranging in diameter from 14.6 to 15.2 mm. Subjects’ baseline IOP was measured with both the Diaton and Goldmann Applanation Tonometry at 8:00 AM and 4:00 PM. The following day, the first pair of scleral lenses were applied immediately with the Goldmann. The procedure was then repeated with the second pair of lenses. Six IOP measurements were taken and averaged each time the Diaton was used. Two Goldmann measurements were averaged.

Results

The correlation between IOP measurements taken with the Diaton and Goldmann were significant. 73.2% of observed Goldmann values fell within 2 mmHg of the predicted Goldmann values predicted by our linear regression model.

Immediately following lens application, IOP increased (above baseline) in all subjects in both lens designs A and B. The increase in IOP was not significantly different between the two lens designs. The average increase in IOP for both lens designs was 5.5 mmHg.

Discussion

We observed that immediately following scleral lens application, the IOP increased in all of the test subjects. The initial IOP increase averaged 5.5 mmHg. The pressure appears to remain elevated throughout the wearing time (average 7.0 mmHg increase from baseline prior to removal), then immediately returns to baseline following scleral lens removal. This suggests that the suction force beneath the lens is a primary contributor to the IOP changes. These suction forces may also be responsible for such a phenomenon as conjunctival prolapse.

However, narrowing of the iridocorneal angle or compression of the trabecular meshwork and/or Schlemm’s canal due to the suction of the lens can not be ruled out as possible contributing factors to the IOP changes. Accurate measurement of IOP with the scleral lens “on-eye” remains a difficult endeavor. Further studies utilizing alternative measurement techniques will be required to confirm these findings are consistent.

These data raise a number of important clinical questions:

1. What are the long term consequences of an increase in IOP of 5.0 mmHg? 10 mmHg? 15 mmHg (subject 14)?
2. How much of an increase in IOP, if any, is acceptable?
3. Do the suction forces similar between various lens diameters/designs?
4. Should we be marketing scleral lenses for “normal eyes,” who otherwise suggest that the suction force beneath the lens is a primary contributor to the IOP changes. These suction forces may also be responsible for such a phenomenon as conjunctival prolapse.
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